

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 9708 10/070,723 06/19/2002 Horst Grochowski PS-13393 **EXAMINER** 7590 12/09/2005 FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP JOHNSON, EDWARD M 1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh floor ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Cleveland, OH 44114-2518 1754

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/070,723	GROCHOWSKI, HORST
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Edward M. Johnson	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	<u>eptember 2005</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-22,24-37 and 48-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-22,24-37 and 48-50 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Applicati	on Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment	(s)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19-22, 24-36, and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grochowski '907.

Applicant claims a method of treating fluids by use of at least one bulk material comprising flowing a fluid substantially through a plurality of bulk material beds, said fluid flowing from a bottom to a top of at least one bulk material bed; moving said at least one bulk material in at least one of said bulk material beds countercurrent to the flow of said fluid through at least one of said bulk material beds; at least partially adding said at least one bulk material to said top of said at least one bulk material to said top of said at least one bulk material beds so as to provide substantially even distribution of said at least one bulk material bed has been properly exchanged; and, operating a plurality of said bulk material beds in parallel such that said removing and said

Art Unit: 1754

adding of said at least one bulk material in a plurality of said bulk material beds occurs successively.

Grochowski discloses a process and device for treating fluids by flowing fluid through a plurality of several bulk material beds from the bottom to the top of the bulk material bed (see abstract). Grochowski continues to disclose wherein the bulk material in at least one of the bulk material beds flows in a countercurrent flow to the fluid (col. 2, lines 30-42). The reference continues to disclose wherein some of the bulk material in each reaction chamber can be removed from the bottom and a replacement amount can be added successively (see abstract and col. 4, lines 17-40).

Therefore, an even distribution of the bulk material would be inherent, by adding at least one bulk material to the top of the bulk material bed, until there has been a proper exchange, because Grochowski clearly teaches wherein it is known in the art to add bulk material to the top of the bulk material bed. Grochowski continues to disclose wherein the bulk material level will be lowered within the reaction chamber wherein the fluid intake openings are closed, which means a plane-parallel layer of used bulk material can be removed and a corresponding quantity of bulk material can be introduced from the top (col. 6, lines 13-22).

The reference teaches with respect to claim 22, wherein bulk material may be added to the top of the reactor, without removing bulk material from the bottom of the bulk material bed (col. 3, lines 1-15). With respect to claims 24-26, the reference teaches bulk material delivery to a plurality of reaction chambers.

With respect to claim 27, the reference teaches a collection bin (reception mechanism) to receive the removed bulk material (col. 5, line 43).

The reference also discloses wherein the fluid is interrupted or throttled (col. 6, lines 13-35). With respect to claims 33-36, the reference discloses wherein it is possible to use as effective bulk materials activated coke, as well as catalysts of inorganic compounds (col. 3, lines 48-53). The bulk materials are also layered (col. 4, lines 17-25).

With respect to claims 38 and 39 see Figure 1, which discloses a container for bulk material delivery.

With respect to claims 48-50, Grochowski discloses having a first and second bulk material (see claim 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/070,723

Art Unit: 1754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grochowski '907 in view of Romey '355.

Applicant claims with respect to claim 37, wherein the adsorbent includes activated coke and at least one chemically reactive component includes calcium hydroxide.

The teachings of Grochowski have been discussed with respect to claims 19-36, 38, 39, and 48-50.

Grochowski discloses wherein activated coke may be used as an adsorbent, but does not teach specifically calcium hydroxide, but rather the use of catalytic inorganic compounds.

However, Romey et al. teaches a process for the removal of gaseous noxious matter from hot gases. Romey et al. continues to teach wherein it is known in the art to use calcium hydroxide and activated coke in a process for treating fluids (col. 2, lines 9-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the teachings of Grochowski, based on the teachings of Romey et al., by using activated coke and calcium hydroxide in a process for treating fluids, since Romey

Art Unit: 1754

et al. teaches wherein it is known in the art to use calcium hydroxide and activated coke in a process for treating fluids.

Such modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because one of ordinary skill in the art, would have expected a process for treating fluid streams as taught by Romey et al., to have been similarly useful and applicable to a process for treating fluids, which teaches applying an activated coke and catalytic inorganic compounds as taught by Grochowski.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that in contrast to the '907 patent... on bulk material bed. This is not persuasive because Grochowski discloses: 1. at least partially adding said at least one bulk material to said top of said at least one bulk material beds so as to provide substantially even distribution of said at least one bulk material bed has been properly exchanged and 2. the bulk material level will be lowered within the reaction chamber wherein the fluid intake openings are closed, which means a plane-parallel layer of used bulk material can be removed and a corresponding quantity of bulk material can be introduced from the top (col. 6, lines 13-22).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,723

Art Unit: 1754

It is argued that claim 24 has been amended... independent form. This is not persuasive for the reasons above.

It is argued that additionally, dependent claim 33 recites...
material bed. This is not persuasive for reasons already of
record.

It is argued that dependent claim 37 recites... calcium hydroxide. This is not persuasive because Romey et al. discloses calcium hydroxide and activated coke in a process for treating fluids (col. 2, lines 9-16).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Art Unit: 1754

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/070,723

Art Unit: 1754

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner

Page 9

Art Unit 1754

anun

EMJ